C.S.1001 (1) SINGLE BENCH (4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W P NO: 2606 of 2006

PETITIONER : Afroz Haque
Aged about 20 years,
S/o Saidul Haque,
Resident of Qtr No: 856/1
Construction Colony,
Dist. Bilaspur (CG)

P.R. No. 2264/06
Presented by Sri Gurmukh Pachyay
dated 15/5/06

Versus

RESPONDENT : 1. Union of India,
Through General Manager,
South East Central Railway,
Bilaspur

2. Chief Personnel Officer,
South East Central Railway
Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 2606/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

17.05.2006

Shri Gary Mukhopadhyay, counsel for the petitioner.

None for respondents.

Heard on admission and M.(W.)P.No.1952/2006 for ad-interim relief.

South East Central Railway issued an employment notice No.P/SECR/Gr-D/01/05, inviting applications for filling up posts in specified Group - D of SECR with a caution that candidates submitting more than one application will not be considered; even if such a candidate gets selected inadvertently, he/she will not be offered appointment and where detected at a later stage will be liable for summary termination from service.

Admittedly, the petitioner submitted more than one application and as a result thereof, his application was rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that similarly situated persons have been given admit cards; therefore, petitioner should also be allowed to appear in the examination.

If any person by playing mischief was successful in obtaining admit card, that cannot be made a basis for issuance of admit card to others or to allow them to appear in the examination, who are not

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

eligible for appearing in the examination in accordance with the conditions prescribed by the authority in their employment notice.

The petitioner's application has been rejected by the respondents in conformity with the employment notice issued by them. Therefore, he has no legal right to claim for appearing in the examination or to obtain admit card on the plea that some other similarly situated persons have been given admit cards.

I am of the opinion that this petition deserves to be dismissed summarily and is accordingly dismissed.

In the light of above order, IA Nos.5094/2006, 5095/2006 and M.(W.)P.No.1952/2006 stand disposed of.

Sd/-
V.K.Shrivastava
Judge

Vacation Judge

padma

[पीछे देखिये